United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	Milton I	. Shadur	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge				
CASE NUMBER	03 C	9110	DATE	2/25/	2004		
CASE TITLE		Keith Smith, et al vs. Nike Retail Services, Inc., etc.					
[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]							
	-				,		
DOCKET ENTRY:							
(1) ☐ Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]							
(2) 🗆 Brief i	Brief in support of motion due						
(3) Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due							
(4) Ruling/Hearing on set for at							
(5) Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at							
(6) Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at							
(7)							
(8) 🗆 [Benci	8) [Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at						
	This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] FRCP4(m) Local Rule 41.1 FRCP41(a)(1) FRCP41(a)(2).						
(10) [Other docket entry] Enter Memorandum Order. Plaintiffs' motion for appointment of counsel is denied at the present, but obviously without prejudice to its potential renewal if it were to turn out that all of their efforts have gone for naught.							
(11) II [For further detail see order attached to the original minute order.]							
No notices required, a	dvised in open court.			•	Document Number		
No notices required.			·	number of notices			
Notices mailed by judge's staff. Notified counsel by telephone.				FEB 2 6 2004			
Docketing to mail notices.			·	Suite Municipal			
Mail AO 450 form. 1860 13		MATSIO .2.U		docketing deputy initials			
Copy to judge/magistrate judge.							
SN	courtroom C (C) (C) deputy's initials	CZ CZZ Tru	received in	date mailed notice			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

KEITH SMITH, et al.,)	•	
Plaintiffs,)		
v.) No.	03 C 9110	
NIKE RETAIL SERVICES, INC., etc.,)		One
Defendant.)		FEBORETE
MEMORANDUM	ORDER		26 2000

Keith Smith ("Smith") and Ria McDougal ("McDougal") filed their employment discrimination Complaint in this case pro se. Although this Court promptly granted them leave to proceed in forma pauperis based on their showing of inability to pay the filing fee, it was necessary to defer their contemporaneous Motion for Appointment of Counsel ("Motion") because they (like all too many pro se litigants) had failed to provide the required information as to the efforts they had made to obtain counsel on their own.

Now Smith (acting on behalf of McDougal as well as himself) has submitted another Motion, this time coupled with detailed information about numerous lawyers and law offices with whom or which they have communicated about possible representation. But a substantial number of the lawyers and firms listed, according to Smith's filing, have not yet reached a decision about possible representation--indeed, some follow-up discussions along those lines are currently active. Accordingly the Motion is denied for

the present as to both Smith and McDougal, but obviously without prejudice to its potential renewal if it were to turn out that all of their efforts have gone for naught.

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Date: February 25, 2004